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Today’s Objectives

- What is the process and timeline for implementation?
- What are the most substantive changes?
- A brief comparison of Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA)/No Child Left Behind (NCLB) and ESSA
- What is Maryland doing to prepare for the transition and the new law?
Timeline and Implementation for ESSA

- ESSA was signed into law on December 10, 2015
- Current ESEA waivers expire August 1, 2016
- School year 2016-2017 is a transition year
- New ESSA provisions go into effect for the 2017-2018 school year
- Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 competitive and formula funding will flow through ESEA construct for school year 2016-2017; FFY 2017 dollars will flow through ESSA construct (in schools for 2017-2018 school year)
Substantive Changes
Federal v. State and Local Control in ESSA

Federal

State and Local

Accountability Goals
Interventions and Support
Assessment Options
Standards

English Learners (ELs)
Identification of Low-Performing Schools
Testing Schedule
# Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic content standards in ELA, Math, and Science</td>
<td>“Challenging State Academic Standards” aligned with State’s higher education requirements for credit-bearing coursework and state career and technology standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flex required States to adopt college and career-ready standards that are common to a significant number of States or the State had to adopt college and career-ready standards that have been approved and certified by a State network of institutions of higher education (IHE).
## Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Must test in 3-8 and once in High School (HS) in ELA and Math</td>
<td>Everyone must use the same assessment in each grade level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must test once in each grade band (3-5, 6-8, and HS) in Science</td>
<td>Local Education Agency (LEA) can use nationally recognized tests at the high school level with State approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States are required to meet 95 percent participation and all non-test takers are counted as basic</td>
<td>States are still required to meet a 95 percent participation rate, but State determines consequences for not meeting 95 percent for LEAs/schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Innovative Assessment Pilot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>States were bound to Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) with Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) to meet 100 percent proficiency by 2014</td>
<td>States choose their own goals, both long and short term addressing proficiency on assessments, EL proficiency and grad rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Flex, states were required to have more than two indicators</td>
<td>Three academic indicators which include achievement, another academic indicator (grad rates at HS level possibly student growth at Elementary School/Middle School), and EL proficiency; plus a fourth “non academic” indicator measuring school quality or student success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States determine the weight of each indicator although academic indicators must carry “much greater weight” than non-academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninety-five percent participation must be part of State Accountability System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NCLB/ESEA Flex**
- States were bound to Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) with Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) to meet 100 percent proficiency by 2014.
- Under Flex, states were required to have more than two indicators.

**ESSA**
- States choose their own goals, both long and short term addressing proficiency on assessments, EL proficiency and grad rates.
- Three academic indicators which include achievement, another academic indicator (grad rates at HS level possibly student growth at Elementary School/Middle School), and EL proficiency; plus a fourth “non academic” indicator measuring school quality or student success.
- States determine the weight of each indicator although academic indicators must carry “much greater weight” than non-academic.

Ninety-five percent participation must be part of State Accountability System.
# Low Performing Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools either met AYP or did not- then consequences were determined by number of years NOT making AYP</td>
<td>States determine goals (AMOs) and consequences for not meeting goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Schools (bottom 5 percent of achievement)</td>
<td>Identify bottom 5 percent of schools AND Identify high schools with graduation rates &lt; 67 percent (Comprehensive Schools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Schools (top 10 percent of largest gaps)</td>
<td>Schools with consistently underperforming subgroups (Targeted Schools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Performing schools were required to choose from seven Turnaround Strategies</td>
<td>For comprehensive schools, LEAs determine evidence-based interventions with State approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All strategies and interventions must be “research-based”</td>
<td>For Targeted schools, schools develop plans approved by the LEA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All strategies and interventions must be “evidence-based”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under Flex, States were required to create statewide Teacher Principal</td>
<td>States may choose to develop a Teacher Principal Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation systems with a student growth element</td>
<td>system and may use Title II, Part A funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers were required to be Highly Qualified</td>
<td>States determine teacher qualifications- Teachers in Title I schools must meet State certification/licensure requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States must describe how low-income and minority children enrolled in Title</td>
<td>“are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, and inexperienced teachers…”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Schools “are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, and inexperienced teachers…”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# English Learners (EL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB/ESEA Flex</th>
<th>ESSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountability for ELs determined under Title III</td>
<td>Accountability for ELs determined under Title I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A State may exempt a recently arrived EL student from one annual administration of the State’s reading/language arts assessment</td>
<td>With respect to recently arrived ELs who have been enrolled in a school in the US for less than 12 months, a state may select to exclude ELs from taking the Reading/Language Arts assessment the first year they are in the country; OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations also require that recently arrived EL students participate in mathematics assessments</td>
<td>EL students take assessments and publicly report, but test scores will not count toward a school’s rating in the first year with subsequent plans in years 2 and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students continue to be a part of the EL subgroup for two years after completing the EL program</td>
<td>Formerly identified EL students may be identified in the EL subgroup for up to four years after completion of the EL program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Title IV: 21st Century Schools

- Part A: Student Support and Academic Grants includes activities to support:
  - Well-rounded educational opportunities
  - Safe and Healthy Students
  - Effective Use of Technology
- Part B: 21st Century Community Learning Centers
- Part C: Charter Schools
- Part D: Magnet Schools
- Part E: Family Engagement
- Part F: National Activities
STEM Provisions in ESSA

- Standards and Assessments:
  - Option for states to integrate engineering and technology concepts into science assessments

- Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers and School Leaders
  - Allows for professional development to promote high-quality instruction in STEM
  - Provides Secretary with new authority to distribute competitive funding

- Student Enrichment Activities
  - May utilize Title IV, Part A funds for a variety of STEM enrichment activities and STEM-Specialty Schools
ESEA/ESSA Transition Goals

- A smooth transition from No Child Left Behind (NCLB)/Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility to Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)

- Consistent implementation across Local Education Agencies
Transition Process

√ Develop MSDE’s ESSA team
  ▪ Began January 2016

☐ Stakeholder Engagement Committee
  ▪ Committee meets bimonthly
  ▪ Initial Meeting March 24, 2016

☐ Schedule Focus Groups

☐ Conduct surveys

☐ Synthesize feedback
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