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ESSA External Stakeholder Committee
September 27, 2018

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Timeline

State Board Meetings:
August 28, 2018

September 25, 2018

October 23, 2018
*November 2018
December 4, 2018

Topic:
Accountability Review (Slides 3-51)
Decision on SAT cut points (Slide 52) and ASVAB (Slides 53-55)
Communication Strategies
Explanation of internal and external
processes for Standard Setting -
Assignment of Stars
Gifted and Talented as a Student
Group - Regulation
Determination of Summative Score
Points/Assignment of Stars
Testing Beta Site for data
Report Card
(* No State Board Meeting)

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


# ESSA Implementation Update 

## Academic Indicators - (65\%)

## Academic Achievement

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS



# Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS 

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Maryland Report Card: Academic Achievement

## ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

HOW DID STUDENTS PERFORM ON STATE TESTS?
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex, view additional school data online.


Note: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur click here.
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This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

## Maryland Report Card: Student Group - Achievement

This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Percent of a Whole - Definition

Percent of a whole - Points calculated as a percent of a whole means, for example, that if the school's value for that measure is 60 percent, and the measure is allocated ten points, the school would receive six points.

## Example Scoring: Percent of a Whole

Distribution of ELA PARCC proficiency rate, elementary schools, 2016-17


Actual points will be assigned continuously, not in intervals. For example, the ELA proficiency rate is worth 5 points in the overall accountability system.
A school with a proficiency rate of $55 \%$ would receive $.55 \times 5=2.75$ points.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) Elementary and Middle Schools

A student's SGP is calculated as an individual student's growth compared to other Maryland students who took the same assessment as the student in prior year(s) and achieved a similar score (academic peers). The SGP score indicates the percentage of academic peers equal to or above whom the student scored higher, with a possible value of 1 (low) to 99 (high). A school's SGP will be calculated as the median SGP of students for whom an SGP can be calculated.

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Median Student Growth Percentile

## 2016-2017 data and recommendation for allocating points


(Points would actually be assigned in increments of 0.5)

Median Student Growth Percentile, math (elementary/middle schools)


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Points would actually be assigned in increments of 0.5 )

## ESSA Implementation Update

Elementary and Middle
"Credit for"
completion of a well-rounded curriculum

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS



## Elementary School "Credit for"

 2016-2017 data and recommendation for allocating points

Percent of 5th grade students earning credit for social studies, fine arts, physical education, and health
Most schools with available data had 90 percent or more of their students earning a credit for social studies, fine arts, physical education, and health.

Data were available for approximately 300 of 900 elementary schools, because many elementary schools counted enrollment in general courses rather than subject-specific ones in 2016-2017. All schools will collect subject-specific course data in 2017-2018.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## English Language Proficiency

(same method of assessment and calculation for elementary, middle, and high schools)

Percentage of students making progress towards attaining English language proficiency as measured by growth on the ACCESS assessment for English language learners.

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


ESSA External Stakeholder Committee

## Maryland Report Card: English Language Proficiency

## PROGRESS TOWARDS ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY

View additional info

HOW MANY ENGLISH LEARNERS ARE MAKING PROGRESS TOWARD LEARNING ENGLISH?
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex.


Note: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur click here.

This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

## English Language Proficiency (ELP)

- N -size $=10$
- English Language Proficiency will count as $10 \%$ for schools meeting minimum N -size for grades K -12
- Assessment is the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 which is administered annually to all English Learners (EL)
- The exit criteria is 4.5 (ELs with a proficiency level of 4.5 have an equi-probable likelihood of achieving proficiency on PARCC when compared to performance of English-only peers)


## English Language Proficiency (ELP)

## Growth-to-Target Model for ELP

| Expected ELP Growth by Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Year Proficiency Level (based on ACCESS for <br> ELLs 2.0) | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1.0 | .9 | .7 | .5 | -4 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | .9 | .7 | .5 | .4 | --- |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | .7 | .5 | .3 | --- | --- |  |
| $4.0-4.4$ | .3 | .2 | --- | -- | --- |  |
| Proficiency Attainment Met | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- |  |


| Year | Target in \% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Baseline: $2016-17$ | 48 |
| $2017-2018$ | 50 |
| $2018-2019$ | 52 |
| $2019-2020$ | 54 |
| $2020-2021$ | 56 |
| $2021-2022$ | 58 |
| $2022-2023$ | 60 |
| $2023-2024$ | 62 |
| $2024-2025$ | 64 |
| $2025-2026$ | 66 |
| $2026-2027$ | 68 |
| $2027-2028$ | 70 |
| $2028-2029$ | 72 |
| $2029-2030$ | 74 |

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate Composite High Schools

## Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Maryland Report Card: Graduation Rate

This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

## Maryland Report Card : Student Group - Graduation

| Graduation Rate | ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION RATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FOUR-YEAR |  |  | FIVE-YEAR |  |  |
|  | SCHOOL | ANNUALTARGET | IMPROVEMENT | SCHOOL | ANNUALTARGET | IMPROVEMENT |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 72.0\% | Not Met | No | 78.4\% | Not Met | Yes |
| Black/African American | 68.0\% | Not Met | Yes | 70.8\% | Met | Yes |
| Hispanic/Latino | 69.2\% | Met | Yes | 60.3\% | Met | Yes |
| Pacific Islander | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Two or More Races | 74.0\% | Met | Yes | 73.1\% | Not Met | No |
| White/Caucasian | 70.6\% | Met | Yes | 72.3\% | Met | Yes |
| Special Education | 50.5\% | Not Met | No | 40.5\% | Not Met | No |
| English Learners | 25.4\% | Not Met | No | 30.2\% | Not Met | Yes |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 45.1\% | Not Met | Yes | 42.3\% | Not Met | No |
| All Students | 68.0\% | Met | Yes | 72.0\% | Met | Yes |
| Note: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, constempor ligula eu, click here. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Readiness for Post Secondary Success

## On Track 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade and

High School "Credit for" completion of a well-rounded curriculum

## Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


# Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS 

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Maryland Report Card: Readiness for Post-Secondary Success

## READINESS FOR POST-SECONDARY SUCCESS

## HOW MANY STUDENTS ARE ON-TRACK FOR SUCCESS AFTER HIGH SCHOOL?

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex.


Note: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Phasellus a ante lacus. Donec non eros at nunc tincidunt mollis at eu turpis. Fusce semper commodo ligula ut convallis. Phasellus ac purus ullamcorper, tempor ligula eu, imperdiet ex. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur click here.
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## ESSA Implementation Update

## Assigned Scores <br> Definition

Assigned Scores - Means that points will be allocated by a standard-setting process that accounts for the distribution of current and historical data, applicable research, and stakeholder input. A percent of a whole distribution would not meaningfully differentiate schools for the measure.

## High School "Credit for" 2016-2017 data and recommendation for allocating points

Percent of students meeting "well-rounded" criteria, traditional high
schools (2016)


| Percent of high school <br> completers earning <br> "credit for"... |  | Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0}$ | 1 |
| 60.0 | 65.1 | 1.5 |
| 65.1 | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3}$ | 2 |
| 70.3 | 75.4 | 2.5 |
| 75.4 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$ | 3 |
| 80.6 | 85.7 | 3.5 |
| 85.7 | $\mathbf{9 0 . 9}$ | 4 |
| 90.9 | $\mathbf{9 6 . 0}$ | 4.5 |
| 96.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 5 |

Note: Present data does not include students completing apprenticeships, meeting the ASVAB standard, earning a Seal of Biliteracy, or meeting the standards for Certificate of Program Completion. Adding in the missing elements will increase the percentage of students meeting the standard and further skew the distribution. Data will be included for 2017-2018.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## School Quality and Student Success - (35\%)

The State may include measures of student engagement; educator engagement; student access to and completion of advanced coursework; postsecondary readiness; school climate and safety; and any other indicator the State chooses that meets the requirements of the law.

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Framework of Indicators

## HIGH SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS

$\qquad$

# Maryland Report Card: School Quality or School Success 

This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

# ESSA Implementation Update 

## Chronic Absenteeism

## Definition of "percent of students not chronically absent"

As described in Maryland's ESSA plan and federal reporting guidelines:

- "Chronically absent" means a student is absent 10 percent or more school days during the school year in membership at least ten days.
- "Absent" means "a student is not physically on school grounds and is not participating in instruction or instruction-related activities at an approved off-grounds location for the school day. Chronically absent students include students who are absent for any reason (e.g., illness, suspension, the need to care for a family member), regardless of whether absences are excused or unexcused."

The percentage of students not chronically absent is equal to:

- $100 \%$ - percent of students chronically absent
- The percent of students present at least 90 percent of school days during the school year, and in membership at least ten days.

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE
Use even increments to assign points for rates between 60 and 96 percent. Each "accountability point step" represents an interval of 2.67 percentage
 points in the chronic absenteeism rate.


Percent of Students not Chronically Absent, 2016-17

## 1

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ESSA Implementation Update

## School Climate Survey

## School Climate Survey Development and Reporting

- The climate survey has four domains, each with from two to four topics.
- The domains and topics were developed by the climate survey steering committee. Once the topics are selected, the questions are fixed.
- Any changes to questions, topics, and domains have to be studied to ensure validity and continuity.


## Safety

- Physical safety
- Emotional safety
- Bullying
- Substance abuse

Environment

- Instructional environment
- Physical environment
- Fairness

Engagement

- Cultural and linguistic diversity
- Participation


## Relationships

- Studentstudent relationships
- Student-staff relationships


## Educarton Survey administration procedures are being communicated to LEAs for 2018-2019 administration

- Field test will be fall 2018; full survey administration will be spring 2019
- Survey will likely fit within one class period (most students should be able to complete it in less than 20 minutes).
- Students: Grades 5-11
- Educators: Teachers, principals, instructional staff
- Spanish translation will be available.
- Administration procedures will utilize Assessment procedures and the Maryland Accommodation Manual.


# ESSA Implementation Update 

## Opportunities/Access to a well-rounded curriculum

## ELEMENTARY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


# Framework of Indicators HIGH SCHOOLS 

FRAMEWORK OF INDICATORS


## Maryland Report Card: Academic and Non-Academic Measures



This document is for format consideration only. Data are for illustrative purposes only.

## ESSA Implementation Update

## Equity

## Minimum reporting requirement: disaggregate all indicators by student group.

| EXAMPLE DATA for demonstration purposes only |  | All students | Asian | Black/African American | Hispanic/ Latino | White | Students with Disabilities | Limited English Proficient | Economically Disadvantaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Enrollment |  | 100\% | 2\% | 73\% | 17\% | 80\% | 10\% | 16\% | 72\% |
| INDICATOR | POSSIBLE POINTS | POINTS EARNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Achievement | 20 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 9.6 |
| Growth | 25 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| Credit for a WellRounded Curriculum | 10 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.3 |
| Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 10 | 5.5 | n/a | n/a | 5.5 | n/a | n/a | 5.5 | n/a |
| School Quality and Student Success | 35 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 70.9 | 69.5 | 61.5 | 68.1 | 69.4 | 55.7 | 65.3 | 62.4 |
|  | PERCENT PERCENTILE | 70.9\% | 77.2\% | 68.3\% | 68.1\% | 77.1\% | 61.9\% | 65.3\% | 69.3\% |
|  |  | 80th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

## Recommendation: Report the gap between students in and out of each student group by indicator.

Shown by: Student groups by race

| EXAMPLE DATA for demonstration purposes only |  | All students | Asian | Not Asian | Black/African American | Not Black/ African American | Hispanic/ Latino | Not Hispanic/ Latino | White | Not White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Enrollment |  | 100\% | 2\% | 98\% | 73\% | 27\% | 17\% | 83\% | 80\% | 20\% |
| INDICATOR | POSSIBLE POINTS | POINTS EARNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Achievement | 20 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 10.8 |
| Growth | 25 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 13.5 |
| Credit for a Well-Rounded Curriculum | 10 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.0 |
| Progress in <br> Achieving <br> English <br> Language <br> Proficiency | 10 | 5.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 5.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| School Quality and Student Success | 35 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 70.9 | 69.5 | 65.1 | 61.5 | 71.2 | 68.1 | 67.9 | 69.4 | 62.3 |
|  | PERCENT | 70.9\% | 77.2\% | 72.3\% | 68.3\% | 79.1\% | 68.1\% | 75.4\% | 77.1\% | 69.2\% |
|  | PERCENTILE | 80th | GAP:4.9\% |  | GAP:-10.8\% |  | GAP:-7.3\% |  | GAP: 7.9\% |  |
|  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

## Recommendation: Report the gap between students in and out of each student group by indicator. <br> Shown by: Student groups by services



