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# Cover Sheet and Score Summary

Lead Agency:  **Maryland State Department of Education**

Program Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Agency and Title of Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Review Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**SCORE SUMMARY**

Section Maximum Score Reviewer’s Score

Evidence of impact 10 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Extent of nee 10 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Goals 10 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Program plan and outreach 30 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation 20 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Budget Narrative 20 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL SCORE 100 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Requirements

A reminder to the Review Committee that applications must contain the following to be considered for funding:

* Evidence that the LEA serves a population of students at least 80% of which are minority students;
* Evidence that the applicant is recognized by [USA Lacrosse](https://www.usalacrosse.com) as a member of [the Urban Lacrosse Alliance;](https://www.usalacrosse.com/urban-lacrosse-alliance)
* Proposed budget may not exceed 50% of the total annual cost of the lacrosse program; and
* Proposed budget must demonstrate 100% matching funds.

# Evidence of Impact (10 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  9-10 points | Meets Standard  7-8 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-6 points |
| The application provides a **detailed** description of how proposed activities are evidence-based and will lead to the desired impact. | The application identifies how proposed activities are evidence-based and will lead to the desired impact. | It’s not clear how the activities will lead to the desired impact, or it is misaligned to the intent of the program. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |

# Extent of Need (10 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  9-10 points | Meets Standard  7-8 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-6 points |
| The application includes quantitative and qualitative data to demonstrate the need for this program. The data provided is aligned to the intent of the program. | The application identifies the need for this program. Not all the data provided is aligned to the intent of the program. | The application lacks sufficient data to demonstrate the need for this program. The data provided is misaligned to the intent of the program. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |

# Goals (10 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  9-10 points | Meets Standard  7-8 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-6 points |
| The application provides a complete baseline of demographic data. Goals are **ambitious** yet attainable. | The application provides baseline demographic data. Goals are realistic and attainable. | The application is lacking baseline data. Goals are set but are not realistic or attainable. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |

# Program Plan and Outreach (30 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  21-30 points | Meets Standard  12-20 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-11 points |
| A detailed description is provided that demonstrates how the proposed lacrosse program is structured to serve the community. The outreach plan to recruit minority athletes is **robust**. | A clear description is provided that demonstrates how the proposed lacrosse program is structured to serve the community. The outreach plan to recruit minority athletes is sufficient. | It is not clear how the proposed lacrosse program is structured to serve the community. The outreach plan to recruit minority athletes is underdeveloped. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |

# Evaluation (20 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  14-20 points | Meets Standard  8-13 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-7 points |
| There is a **robust** plan to evaluate the success of the lacrosse program and whether the program has met its goals. | There is a clear plan to evaluate the success of the lacrosse program and whether the program has met its goals. | The plan to evaluate the success of the lacrosse program is underdeveloped. It’s not clear how the program will determine whether goals have been met. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |

# Budget (20 total points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exemplary  14-20 points | Meets Standard  8-13 points | Does Not Meet Standard  0-7 points |
| All grant activities are present in the budget. Costs are reasonable and cost-effective. The budget demonstrates at least 100% in matching funds. | Most grant activities are present in the budget. Costs are reasonable. There may be a few calculation errors. The budget demonstrates at least 100% in matching funds. | The budget includes erroneous expenses. Grant activities are not present. Budget details are not itemized. Budget contains errors. The budget does not demonstrate at least 100% in matching funds. |

Score: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| Comments: |