

2024 Equipment Assistance Grant for National School Lunch Program Operators

Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2024 State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2025

Maryland State Department of Education

200 West Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Deadline

February 3, 2025 No later than 5:00 p.m. EDT

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Carey M. Wright, Ed.D.

State Superintendent of Schools

Wes Moore

Governor

MARYLAND STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Joshua L. Michael, Ph.D.

President, Maryland State Board of Education

Monica Goldson, Ed.D., Vice President

Chuen-Chin Bianca Chang, MSN, PNP, RN-BC

Kenny Clash

Clarence C. Crawford, President Emeritus

Abhiram Gaddam, Student Member

Susan J. Getty, Ed.D.

Nick Greer

Dr. Irma E. Johnson

Dr. Kim Lewis

Dr. Joan Mele-McCarthy, D.A., CCC-SLP

Rachel L. McCusker

Xiomara V. Medina, M.Ed.

Samir Paul, Esq.

Table of Contents

Instructions	3
Project Narrative	5
2024 Equipment Assistance Grant for National School Lunch Program Operators Scoring Rubric	2
Appendices	6

Instructions

- Complete this application electronically by typing directly into the fillable fields and charts.
- Do not alter or remove sections.
- When finished, save the application document as a pdf to your computer and obtain appropriate signatures.
- The signed and completed application should be saved as a single pdf document and emailed as an attachment to sara.brandt@maryland.gov with the subject "24 Equipment Assistance Grant Application Submission".

Proposal Cover Page					
Institution/Agency/Jurisdiction Name:					
Name of Contact Person:					
Institution/Agency Address:					
Contact Person Phone:					
Contact Person Email:					
Agency/Organization Name	Primary Contact	Partner's Project Role			
*Add more rows as needed.					
Amount of the request for grant period (Ju	ly 29, 2024 – September 30, 2026):				
\$					
(Should agree with Proposed Budget and not to exc	ceed \$90,000)				
Signature of Contact Person	Date				
Printed Name of Contact Person	Title				
Signature of Superintendent of Schools	Date				
Printed Name of Superintendent	LEA Name				
Trinced Name of Superintendent	LLA Name				

Project Narrative

PROJECT ABSTRACT (100 WORDS)
In the Project Abstract, introduce the project to the reader. The abstract should be factual, brief, and focused on the organization's efforts. Do not assume the reader is familiar with the proposed project. The project abstract should cover the core aspects of the proposed project, such as the populations served, a brief description of the goals, the strategies to meet them, and the roles of the partners.
EXTENT OF NEED
Refer to the grant information guide, page 8, for a full description of what should be included here.
EVIDENCE OF IMPACT Performs to the grant information guide, page 9, for a full description of what should be included here.
Refer to the grant information guide, page 8, for a full description of what should be included here.

GOALS, MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES AND MILESTONES

Complete the table below with:

- The facility that will receive the requested equipment.
- The facility type (school, production kitchen and/or central kitchen).
- Equipment requested.
- Cost of equipment, including the equipment itself and approved installation, shipping/transportation or other associated costs.
 - This does not replace the requirement to submit MSDE Grant Budget C-1-25 (Appendix C).
- Key focus areas (meal quality, food safety, energy efficiency and/or expand participation).
- Child Nutrition (CN) Programs using equipment requested (National School Lunch Program/NSLP and/or School Breakfast Program/SBP).
- Total number of enrolled students that would be served by the equipment requested.
- Free and reduced-price percentage for the facility that will receive the requested equipment. Current free and reduced-price meal data is available here.
- Justification for funding, where the applicant briefly describes how the facility will benefit from the equipment requested and why the equipment is needed.
- Measurable objective(s), where the applicant briefly describes the outcome(s) that the requested equipment will have.
 - O Grantees are required to submit an interim progress report that is consistent with the project's goal and objective(s).
 - Evaluation and quarterly progress reports should be consistent with the project's goals and objective(s). An effective ongoing plan should evaluate milestones and help project staff make informed decisions.
- Evidence of impact, where the applicant briefly describes how the impact of the equipment requested will be assessed and/or tracked.

Refer to the grant information guide, page 8, for additional guidance.

Cost of **Total Enrollment** CN Program(s) Free and Equipment Equipment, **Key Focus Areas** Served by **Facility Type Facility Name Using Equipment** Reduced-Price Installation, Select all that apply **Proposed** Select all that apply Requested Select all that apply Percentage* **Equipment** etc. ☐ School ☐ Meal Quality ☐ SBP ☐ Food Safety ☐ Production Kitchen ☐ NSLP ☐ Energy Efficiency ☐ Central Kitchen ☐ Expand Participation Justification for funding Goal(s) 1. Measurable Objective(s) 1. Evidence of Impact • ☐ Meal Quality ☐ School ☐ SBP ☐ Production Kitchen ☐ Food Safety ☐ NSLP ☐ Central Kitchen ☐ Energy Efficiency ☐ Expand Participation Justification for funding Goal(s) 1. Measurable Objective(s) 1. Evidence of Impact • ☐ School ☐ Meal Quality ☐ SBP ☐ Production Kitchen ☐ Food Safety ☐ NSLP ☐ Energy Efficiency ☐ Central Kitchen ☐ Expand Participation Justification for funding Goal(s) 1. Measurable Objective(s) 1. Evidence of Impact • ☐ School ☐ Meal Quality ☐ SBP ☐ Production Kitchen ☐ Food Safety ☐ NSLP ☐ Central Kitchen ☐ Energy Efficiency ☐ Expand Participation Justification for funding Goal(s) 1. Measurable Objective(s) 1. Evidence of Impact •

Λ	ЛΛ	N	I۸	C	EV	Л	EN	TI	. Ь	IΛ	N	ı
ı١	ИΗ	w	ΙН	u	EI	и	EI	VІ	_	LA	w	4

Refer to the grant information guide, page 8, for additional guidance.

Management Plan Worksheet

Key Activities	Individual Responsible	Time Frame
SUSTAINABILITY PLAN		
Refer to the grant information guide, page	9, for guidance.	

BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE

The project's budget should detail all related project expenses in a separate itemized budget. It should demonstrate the extent to which the budget is reasonable, cost-effective, and integrates other sources of funding. All costs described in the project narrative should appear in the budget narrative and must have a corresponding entry in the itemized budget for that year. Reviewers should be able to see a clear connection between the management plan and the budget line items. Note: When completing this section, refer to Use of Funds, page 6, and Budget and Budget Narrative, page 10, sections in the Grant Information Guide.

2024 Equipment Assistance Grant for National School Lunch Program **Operators Scoring Rubric**

PROJECT ABSTRACT

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
The project abstract outlines a concise and comprehensive summary of the target population, goals, strategies, and partnerships.	The project abstract addresses the required components.	The project abstract is missing or does not address the required components.

EXTENT OF NEED

Priority Criteria				
	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
Students who qualify for free/ reduced meals	Over 75%	50-75%	0-49%	
Grantee in 2021, 2022 or 2023?	No	Yes, the NSLP operator received a grant	Yes, one or more of the schools listed received a grant	
Facility participates in the SBP?		Yes	No	
Focus areas	cus areas One point per focus area selected			

EVIDENCE OF IMPACT

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
The proposal provides two or more examples that explain the history of impact on the target population including discussion of past efforts, failures, and successes toward influencing change. The proposal clearly explains future impacts of the proposed activity/project and how the target population is expected to be influenced by the efforts of specific to implementing this grant.	The proposal provides at least one example that explains the history of impact on the target population. The proposal describes the future impact of the activity/project and how the target population will be influenced by change.	No examples that explain the history of impact on the target population. The proposal does not describe the future impact of the activity/project.

GOALS, MEASURABLE OUTCOMES, AND MILESTONES

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
The goal(s) are measurable, clearly aligned to the extent of need, and ambitiously focused on effectuating change. Realistic and attainable outcomes illustrate a distinguishable effort to significant progress. Milestones for each intended outcome ensure continued monitoring for success and include response to meeting targets.	The goal(s) are measurable and aligned to the extent of need. Outcomes are realistic and attainable. Milestones are provided for measuring progress of each intended outcome.	The goal(s) are not measurable are not clearly aligned to the extent of need. Outcomes are not realistic or attainable. Milestones are not provided or do not align to outcomes.

MANAGEMENT PLAN AND KEY PESONNEL

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
All relevant activities listed chronological indicating a comprehensive management plan throughout the grant period.	The proposal includes a time-specific management plan.	The proposal does not include an adequate plan for ensuring implementation.

EVALUATION AND DISSEMINATION

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
Evaluation questions are included for each goal with considerable guidance toward implementation of aligned strategies and can be effectively and meaningfully evaluated. Clear evaluation strategy that includes alternative scenarios and criteria to ensure comprehensive evaluation. Multiple relevant, logical data types and collection methods are identified. Evaluators and their qualifications are indicated.	Evaluations questions are based around the goal(s) and outcomes, provide guidance on the implementation, and can be evaluated. Clear evaluation strategy Clear data type(s) and collection method(s) are identified. Evaluator is identified.	Limited or no examples of evaluation questions. No evidence of evaluation strategy The data type and collection method are unclear. Evaluator is not identified.

SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
Applicant provides a comprehensive plan for ensuring the ongoing success of the proposal beyond the funding cycle that includes identification of additional resources. A detailed plan for maintaining partnerships and their contribution to sustainability is described	Applicant provides a continuation plan beyond the funding cycle and describes how partnerships will be maintained.	The application does not include a plan to exist after the funding cycle.

BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE

Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Exceeds Criteria	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria
The budget narrative is detailed and comprehensive of all activities necessary for successful implementation of proposal. The budget aligns directly to the proposal and does not rely entirely on grant funds for successful implementation. There are no mathematical errors, and all expenses are cost effective and appear necessary.	The budget narrative is complete. The budget aligns to the proposal and is free of mathematical errors. Expenses are reasonable and allowable.	Budget does not align with the proposal, includes cost that are not reasonable or allowable, or has several mathematical errors.

Appendices

The following appendices must be included but not apply to the page limit of the Project Narrative. Include other appendices as deemed necessary.

Appendix A: A signed recipient assurances page

Appendix B: A signed C-125 MSDE budget form

Appendix C: Evidence of status of a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization, if applicable

Appendix D: Resume(s) of Key Personnel