

MARYLAND CONSOLIDATED PERKINS AND METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (MOA) MONITORING REVIEW PROCESS RUBRICS

Overview

The Division of Career and College Readiness (DCCR) is committed to supporting the achievement of equitable outcomes for all students. Through this commitment, DCCR provides a variety of resources to help monitored regions prevent, identify, and remedy unlawful discrimination and meet federal requirements established by Perkins and MOA. A series of rubrics were developed to guide the review of all evidence submitted by monitored regions against the monitoring criteria outlined in the Maryland Consolidated Perkins and MOA Monitoring program. Prior to the monitoring review, we highly recommend local school systems, colleges, and state-operated programs review all rubrics to gain better understanding of the level of clarity and accuracy required for evidence submissions and how evidence submissions may be evaluated. The criteria listed in these rubrics can also be found on the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria List and are based on Perkins & MOA legislative requirements that align with school systems, community colleges, state-operated programs current required protocols, evaluations, and reporting requirements.

Rubric Criteria Rating

Evidence is rated for each assigned criterion indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Each criterion is rated with an "X" as follows:

- **Acceptable** Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" an explanation is provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. Additional information on review criteria, including detailed descriptions of the evidence required for Local Schools Systems/Community Colleges & State-Operated Programs are located on the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review Plan website. For questions and/or additional assistance, please contact the Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist, Dr. China Wilson, at china.wilson@maryland.gov or 410-767-0180.



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #1 - Assessment of Equity and Student Access

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note, team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 1.



Figure 1: Example of Criteria 1 Rating

Category #1 - Assessment of Equity and Student Access

Local school systems and community colleges are required to provide equal CTE access and equitable support to all students enrolling in a CTE program of study, including students from special populations. Resources or supports must be directed to reduce enrollment gaps and remove barriers to student participation.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP1C – MOA Admissions Criteria	☑ Admissions/Placement policy for CTE and description of admissions/placement process (e.g. description of strategies used, social media outreach, etc.). Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The admissions policy does not contain language that includes representation for the protected groups of race and gender.	Jane Doe
	☑ Procedures and criteria for selective admissions/placement to CTE programs where there are more applicants than can be accommodated [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The documentation submitted does not clearly outline the recipient's procedures and criteria for selective admissions/ placement to CTE programs where there are more applicants than can be accommodated.	
	☐ Demographics of rejected candidates by selection criteria [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	No documentation was submitted.	
	☑ Procedure for Limited English Proficiency/English Learner identification and placement [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	The documentation submitted does not include information on placement procedures for ELL students.	
	☑ Procedure for students with disabilities identification and placement including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process. Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The procedures submitted are inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the procedures for placement outline practices used to measure a student's	



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
	hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].			prospect for success based on disability. This requirement presents a concern.	
CCTEP1D – MOA Counseling Criteria	⊠ Copy of the recipient's written guidance/case management plan (e.g. trainings provided to ensure non-discrimination, etc.) Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	John Doe
	⊠ Copy of the recipient's assessment plan (e.g. surveys, focus group feedback regarding intent vs. impact, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The assessment plan submitted is inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the assessment plan outlines practices used to measure a student's prospect for success based on disability. This requirement presents a concern.	
	□ Calendar of career and academic counseling/case management (e.g. screenshots of online counseling, summary of case management sessions, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	
	□ Copy of enrollment/placement forms [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The forms submitted do not include a Notice of Non-Discrimination compliant with current federal standards.	
	☑ Description of the process to identify and address disproportionate enrollment/placement in CTE programs [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The documentation submitted do not clearly outline the process to identify and address disproportionate enrollment/placement in CTE programs	



Criteria Rating for Category 1 – Please Complete

Category #1 - Assessment of Equity and Student Access

Local school systems and community colleges are required to provide equal CTE access and equitable support to all students enrolling in a CTE program of study, including students from special populations. Resources or supports must be directed to reduce enrollment gaps and remove barriers to student participation.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP1A – Perkins	☐ Copy of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Needs Assessment	awareness plans. Please note: The evidence for this	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Evaluation of	criteria must be sure to include representation from all		☐ Vague		
Access, Equity and	protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color,		☐ Inconsistent		
Student	national origin, and age) (e.g., training of staff to				
Performance	minimize/reduce potential stigmas, targeted outreach				
Criteria	efforts to ensure broad representation/dissemination of				
	information to key groups, social media strategies, etc.)				
	[same evidence requested for CCTEP1B].				_
	☐ Description of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	awareness activities [same evidence requested for	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	CCTEP1B].		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copies of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	awareness materials (e.g. brochures, flyers, newspaper	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	ads, course catalogs, etc.) [same evidence requested for		☐ Vague		
	CCTEP1B].		☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of CTE course catalog / course descriptions [same	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	evidence requested for CCTEP1B].	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Written plan for recruiting/marketing to/increasing	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	program awareness of individuals with English as a	\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	second language [same evidence requested for CCTEP1B].		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP1A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Access, Equity and Student Performance Criteria (continued)	☐ Written plan for recruiting/marketing to/increasing program awareness of students with disabilities including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process. Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	(reside a copeny cop	
	☐ Samples of recruiting/marketing/program awareness materials in alternative languages/formats (as applicable) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent		
	□ Admissions/Placement policy for CTE and description of admissions/placement process (e.g. description of process, social media outreach strategies, etc.). Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1C & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Procedures and criteria for selective admissions/placement to CTE programs where there are more applicants than can be accommodated [same evidence requested for CCTEP1C & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Demographics of rejected candidates by selection criteria [same evidence requested for CCTEP1C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Procedure for Limited English Proficiency/English Learner identification and placement [same evidence requested for CCTEP1C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP1A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Access, Equity and Student Performance Criteria (continued)	☐ Procedure for students with disabilities identification and placement including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process. Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Copy of the recipient's written guidance/case management plan (e.g. trainings that provide evidence-based strategies to address underrepresentation of various student groups, etc.). Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1D & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of the recipient's assessment plan (e.g. surveys, focus group feedback regarding intent vs. impact, etc.) [same evidence requested for 1D & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Calendar of career & academic counseling/case management (e.g. screenshots of online counseling, summary of case management sessions, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1D & 2A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of enrollment/placement forms [same evidence requested for CCTEP1D]	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Description of the process to identify and address disproportionate enrollment/placement in CTE programs [same evidence requested for CCTEP1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP1B – MOA	☐ Copy of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	(i rease we specific)	itesponsible
Recruitment	awareness plans. Please note: The evidence for this	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Criteria	criteria must be sure to include representation from all		☐ Vague		
	protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color,		☐ Inconsistent		
	national origin, and age) (e.g. training of staff to				
	minimize/reduce potential stigmas, targeted outreach				
	efforts to ensure broad representation/dissemination of information to key groups, etc.) [same evidence				
	requested for CCTEP1A].				
	☐ Description of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	awareness activities [same evidence requested for	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	CCTEP1A].		☐ Vague		
CCTEP1B – MOA	☐ Copies of CTE recruitment/marketing/program	Evidence is:	☐ Inconsistent Evidence is:		
Recruitment	awareness materials (e.g. course catalogs, etc.) [same	☐ Clear/Evident	□ Missing		
Criteria	evidence requested for CCTEP1A].		☐ Vague		
(continued)			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of CTE course catalog /course descriptions [same	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	\square Written plan for recruiting/marketing to/increasing	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	awareness of the program to individuals with English as a	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	second language [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].		□ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Written plan for recruiting/marketing to/increasing	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	awareness of the program to students with disabilities including procedures for students to request reasonable	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing ☐ Vague		
	accommodations and an explanation of the		☐ Inconsistent		
	accommodation process. Please note: The evidence for		_ IIICOIISISCEIIC		
	this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of				
	disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning				
	disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.) [same				
	evidence requested for CCTEP1A].				



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
	☐ Samples of recruiting/marketing/increasing program awareness materials in alternative languages/formats (as applicable) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP1C – MOA Admissions Criteria	☐ Admissions/Placement policy for CTE and description of admissions/placement process (e.g. description of strategies used, social media outreach, etc.). Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP1C – MOA Admissions Criteria (Continued)	☐ Procedures and criteria for selective admissions/placement to CTE programs where there are more applicants than can be accommodated [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 5A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Demographics of rejected candidates by selection criteria [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Procedure for Limited English Proficiency/English Learner identification and placement [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Procedure for students with disabilities identification and placement including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process. Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
(1121)	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP1D - (MOA)	☐ Copy of the recipient's written guidance/case	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Counseling Criteria	management plan (e.g. trainings provided to ensure non-	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	discrimination, etc.) Please note: The evidence for this		□ Vague		
	criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color,		☐ Inconsistent		
	national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for				
	CCTEP1A & 2A].				
	•				
	☐ Copy of the recipient's assessment plan (e.g.	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	surveys, focus group feedback regarding intent vs.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	impact, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A &		☐ Vague		
	2AJ.		☐ Inconsistent		
CCTEP1D - (MOA)	☐ Calendar of career and academic counseling/case	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Counseling Criteria	management (e.g. screenshots of online counseling,	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
(continued)	summary of case management sessions, etc.) [same		☐ Vague		
	evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 2A].		☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of enrollment/placement forms [same	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	evidence requested for CCTEP1A].	\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Description of the process to identify and address	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	disproportionate enrollment/placement in CTE programs	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	[same evidence requested for CCTEP1A].		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
CCTEP1E - MOA	\square A copy of the recipient's continuous non-	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Administrative	discrimination notice.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Criteria			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copies of a variety of the recipient's publications	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	that notify applicants, students, employees, and	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	parents that it does not discriminate on the basis of		☐ Vague		
	race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. (e.g.		☐ Inconsistent		
	brochures, catalogs, handbooks, website, recruitment				
	materials, applications, school newspaper etc.)				



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
	☐ Copies of the annual public notice of nondiscrimination (e.g. as published in local newspapers, pamphlets, recipient's newsletters, radio/TV, etc.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Description of plans for providing annual public notice to persons with disabilities (e.g. visually impaired) and national origin minority persons. Please note: The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass representation of protected groups including all applicable genres of national origin minority persons and all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP1E - MOA Administrative Criteria (continued)	☐ Document(s) which designate the Title IX and Section 504 Coordinator(s) that includes name (or position), business address, and phone number.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copies of the recipient's publications that notify applicants, students, employees, and parents of the names or titles, addresses, and phone numbers of the Title IX and Section 504 Coordinator(s) in the notice of nondiscrimination	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Job description for the Title IX and Section 504 Coordinator(s) which outlines the duties and responsibilities of the position(s).	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Description of the training the Title IX and Section 504 Coordinator(s) have received.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of the recipient's grievance procedure for persons who feel like they have been discriminated against based on sex or disability.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
	☐ Copies of publications/list of locations where the recipient's grievance procedure can be accessed by students, employees, parents, and patrons.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #2 - Assessment of Student Progress

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 2 (Perkins Criteria) and Figure 3 (MOA Criteria).



Figure 2: Example of Criteria Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #2 - Assessment of Student Progress

Local school systems and community colleges are required to provide support to all students completing a CTE program of study, which also includes students from special populations. Resources or supports must be directed to reduce performance gaps and remove barriers to student success. Career guidance with the development of a career and academic plan should be provided in order to assist each student enrolled in a career and technical education program of study with successful completion.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP2A - Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria	☐ Copy of the recipient's written guidance/case management plan (e.g. trainings provided to ensure non-discrimination, etc.). The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The guidance plan does not contain language that includes representation for the protected groups of race and gender. OR The recipient's guidance plan provides examples of the types of events scheduled to provide guidance to students, but it does not explain the actual steps taken to ensure non-discriminatory behavior towards	Jane Doe
	□ Copy of the recipient's assessment plan (e.g. surveys, focus group feedback regarding intent vs. impact, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	students from protected groups. The assessment plan submitted is inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the assessment plan outlines practices used to measure a student's prospect for success based on disability. This requirement presents a concern.	
	☐ Calendar of career and academic counseling/case management (e.g. screenshots of online counseling, summary of case management sessions, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	



CCTEP2A - Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria (continued)	☐ Documents demonstrating that all students complete career assessment inventories (For Local Schools Systems beginning at least by Grade 9.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The recipient did not submit documentation to confirm that students completed their career assessments inventories. No response received for requests for submissions as of 12/2/2020.	Jane Doe
	☑ Documentation demonstrating that all students possess a career and academic plan that is, at minimum, updated each school/program year.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	The documentation submitted demonstrates that students possess a career and academic plan, but the information does not include each school/program year.	



Figure 3: Example of Criteria Rating (MOA Criteria)

Category #2 - Assessment of Student Progress

Local school systems and community colleges are required to provide support to all students completing a CTE program of study, which also includes students from special populations. Resources or supports must be directed to reduce performance gaps and remove barriers to student success. Career guidance with the development of a career and academic plan should be provided in order to assist each student enrolled in a career and technical education program of study with successful completion.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP2D – MOA Services for Students with Disabilities Criteria	☑ Description of how the recipient provides access to all programs, courses, services, and activities offered to students with disabilities (e.g. process for how IEP/504 Plans are identified and implemented). The evidence for this criterion must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The document provides an overview of considerations for the IEP Team, but does not provide a description of how IEP/504 Plans are identified and implemented for students with a disability. Also, the data reveals a disproportionately low enrollment rate for students with disabilities. OR A description of how the recipient provides access to all programs, courses, services and activities to students with a disability was submitted. However, a variety of external documents and their website are inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, their website, Section 504 Complaint Form, 504 Summary of Legal Rights for Parents (list all that apply) do not have a notice of non-discrimination that meets current federal standards.	John Doe
	☐ Description of how equipment and/or facilities have been adapted to accommodate students with disabilities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible	
CCTEP2D – MOA Services for Students with Disabilities Criteria	☑ Policy(ies) for providing aids and services to students including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The document provides policy(ies) for providing aids and services to students including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations, but it does clearly explain the accommodation process.	John Doe	
(continued)	□ List of aids and services available to students with disabilities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	A list of aids was submitted but the services available to students were not included.		
	□ Copy of policies governing use of service animals, tape recorders, note takers, etc.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.		
	☐ Copy of recipient's Free and Public Education (FAPE) policy and procedures.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	The recipient did not submit a copy of their FAPE policy. No response received for requests for submissions as of 12/2/2020.		
	☑ Policies for testing modification for students with disabilities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The policies for testing modifications submitted does not appear to discriminate based on race, color, or national origin. However, the list of testing modifications are inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the list of testing modifications indicate that students are not receiving the appropriate services according to the provisions of their IEP or Section 504 plan. This requirement presents a concern.		
	□ List of testing modifications that have been used by the recipient for persons with disabilities.	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The list of testing modifications are inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the list of testing modifications indicate students are not receiving the appropriate services according to the provisions of their IEP or Section 504 Plan. This requirement presents a concern.		



Criteria Rating for Category 2 – Please Complete

Category #2 - Assessment of Student Progress

Local school systems and community colleges are required to provide support to all students completing a CTE program of study, which also includes students from special populations. Resources or supports must be directed to reduce performance gaps and remove barriers to student success. Career guidance with the development of a career and academic plan should be provided in order to assist each student enrolled in a career and technical education program of study with successful completion.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP2A - Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria	☐ Copy of the recipient's written guidance/case management plan (e.g. trainings provided to ensure non-discrimination, etc.) The evidence for this criteria must be sure to include representation from all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of the recipient's assessment plan (e.g. surveys, focus group feedback regarding intent vs. impact, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Calendar of career and academic counseling/case management (e.g. screenshots of online counseling, summary of case management sessions, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A & 1D].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documents demonstrating that all students complete career assessment inventories (For Local Schools Systems beginning at least by Grade 9.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation demonstrating that all students possess a career and academic plan that is, at minimum, updated each school/program year.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP2B – Perkins Needs Assessment evaluation of access, equity, and student performance Criteria	☐ Copies of analysis, resources or tools used to evaluate the effectiveness of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP4B and CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners, or other sources are apprised of and discuss Perkins Core Indicators for each program for the purpose of improving program and outcomes for students (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP2C – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress towards program implementation Criteria	☐ Copies of analysis, resources, or tools used to evaluate the demand for and growth of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP2C – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress towards program implementation Criteria (continued)	☐ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources are apprised of concentrator enrollment and retention for each program for the purpose of sustaining and growing programs (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP2D – MOA Services for Students with Disabilities Criteria	☐ Description of how the recipient provides access to all programs, courses, services, and activities offered to students with disabilities (e.g. process for how IEP/504 Plans are identified and implemented) The evidence for this criteria must be sure to encompass all genres of disabilities (e.g. hearing impairments, blind, learning disabilities, autism, physical impairments, etc.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Description of how equipment and/or facilities have been adapted to accommodate students with disabilities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Policy(ies) for providing aids and services to students including procedures for students to request reasonable accommodations and an explanation of the accommodation process.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ List of aids and services available to students with disabilities.	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of policies governing use of service animals, tape recorders, note takers, etc.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP2D – MOA	☐ Copy of recipient's Free and Public Education	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Services for	(FAPE) policy and procedures.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Students with			☐ Vague		
Disabilities Criteria			☐ Inconsistent		
(continued)	☐ Policies for testing modification for students with	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	disabilities.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	\square List of testing modifications that have been used by	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	the recipient for persons with disabilities.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #3 - Assessment of CTE Alignment with Local Workforce Needs

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 4 (Perkins Criteria) and Figure 5 (MOA Criteria).



Figure 4: Example of Criteria 3 Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #3 - Assessment of CTE Alignment with Local Workforce Needs

CTE programs of study must lead to careers that are high-skill, high-wage, and/or in-demand. All CTE programs of study provide Technical Skill Assessments and work-based learning opportunities directly aligned to career preparation and placement for local workforce needs to ensure each student transitions to the workforce, postsecondary education, and/or apprenticeship programs.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(please be specific)	Responsible
Perkins Needs Assessment Quality Criteria	⊠ Copy of apprenticeship, workplace, job shadowing, and/or cooperative education agreements (e.g. copies of agreements that verify opportunities to participate in workbased learning) [same evidence required for CCTEP3C].	Evidence is: □ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	A Work-Based Learning (WBL) agreement was submitted but it does not include language that verifies opportunities for students to participate in WBL opportunities. OR Documentation listing the types of WBL opportunities available was submitted, but there was no WBL agreement. OR The WBL agreement submitted does not include a Notice of Non-Discrimination compliant with current federal standards. OR The WBL agreement submitted for ABC High School is inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the WBL agreement for ABC High School requires the use of a GPA for placement into a WBL opportunity. This requirement presents a concern.	Jane Doe



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP3B – Perkins Needs Assessment Quality Criteria (continued)	☑ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources are consulted on Technical Skill Assessments and work-based learning experiences for each program for the purpose of ensuring direct alignment with industry preparation demands and standards (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.)	Evidence is: ☑ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	
	☑ Documentation that the quality of work-based learning programs is validated to meet current occupational standards by business/industry representatives from Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.)	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	Meeting minutes and agendas were submitted, but the documents do not clearly demonstrate a discussion of the content.	
	☐ Documentation of other linkage activities, such as dual enrollment, if applicable.	Evidence is:	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	N/A – No other documentation was submitted as of 12/2/2020.	-



Figure 5: Example of Criteria 3 Rating (MOA Criteria)

Category #3 - Assessment of CTE Alignment with Local Workforce Needs

CTE programs of study must lead to careers that are high-skill, high-wage, and/or in-demand. All CTE programs of study provide Technical Skill Assessments and work-based learning opportunities directly aligned to career preparation and placement for local workforce needs to ensure each student transitions to the workforce, postsecondary education, and/or apprenticeship programs.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all that apply)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP3C – MOA Work-Based Learning Criteria	☐ Copy of apprenticeship, workplace, job shadowing, and/or cooperative education agreements (e.g. copies of agreements that verify work-based learning opportunities are non-discriminatory).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The Work-Based Learning (WBL) agreement submitted for ABC High School is inconsistent with compliance requirements and has been determined to be discriminatory. Specifically, the WBL agreement for ABC High School require the use of a GPA for placement into a WBL opportunity. This requirement presents a concern. OR No Work-Based Learning (WBL) forms or materials were submitted. No response received for requests for submissions as of 12/2/2020. OR The WBL agreement submitted does not include a Notice of Non- Discrimination compliant with current federal standards.	John Doe



Criteria Rating for Category 3 – Please Complete

Category #3 - Assessment of CTE Alignment with Local Workforce Needs

CTE programs of study must lead to careers that are high-skill, high-wage, and/or in-demand. All CTE programs of study provide Technical Skill Assessments and work-based learning opportunities directly aligned to career preparation and placement for local workforce needs to ensure each student transitions to the workforce, postsecondary education, and/or apprenticeship programs.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted (Check all that apply)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP3A – Perkins Needs assessment alignment of workforce and/or economic needs Criteria	Regional/local data verifying high skill, high wage, or in-demand occupations criteria for locally developed programs not meeting State criteria.	Evidence is: □ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	(Freuse se speeding)	Responsible
	□ Documentation that the content of each locally-developed CTE program of study is validated to meet current occupational standards by business/industry representatives from Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.)	Evidence is: □ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development of transition plans for students to State-Approved programs of study that meet high-skill, high-wage, or in-demand occupation criteria (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) (Local Schools Systems Only)	Evidence is: □ Clear/Evident	Evidence is:		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all that apply)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP3B – Perkins Needs Assessment Quality Criteria	☐ Copy of apprenticeship, workplace, job shadowing, and/or cooperative education agreements (e.g. copies of agreements that verify opportunities to participate in work-based learning) [same evidence required for CCTEP3C].	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent		
	□ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources are consulted on Technical Skill Assessments and work-based learning experiences for each program for the purpose of ensuring direct alignment with industry preparation demands and standards (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.)	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation that the quality of work-based learning programs is validated to meet current occupational standards by business/industry representatives from Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.)	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation of other linkage activities, such as dual enrollment, if applicable.	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP3C – MOA Work-Based Learning Criteria	☐ Copy of apprenticeship, workplace, job shadowing, and/or cooperative education agreements (e.g. copies of agreements that verify work-based learning opportunities are non-discriminatory).	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent		



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #4 - Curriculum and Instruction

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 6.



Figure 6: Example of Criteria 4 Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #4 - Curriculum and Instruction

Local School Systems and Community Colleges must provide all students with high-quality CTE programs of study that provide safety & health, technical, academic, and employability knowledge and skills. All students must be provided with strong experiences in and an understanding of all aspects of industry and linkages between secondary and postsecondary programs.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Choose One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP4A – Perkins Needs Assessment Size Criteria	 ☑ Documentation demonstrating that each approved CTE program of study has a minimum enrollment of ten concentrators over a four-year period (e.g. course/ program enrollment data). State data will be used to validate submissions. ☑ Course Selection Guide, college catalogs, CTE brochures, etc. that document courses required for CTE programs of study completion. 	Evidence is: Clear/Evident Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant. The documentation submitted does not include a college catalog and CTE brochures.	Jane Doe
	☑ Documentation of continued progress toward attainment of increased class size if minimum requirement has not been met (e.g. course enrollment data, documentation of steps taken to make progress, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The course enrollment data submitted does not clearly outline a continued progress toward attainment of increased class size.	
CCTEP4B – Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria	□ List of locally developed programs of study that are accredited/aligned to industry standards (e.g. course/program catalog, ACF, ASE, PrintEd, Cosmetology, NCCER).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The list of locally developed programs of study submitted do not align to industry standards.	John Doe



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Choose One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP4B – Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria	☐ List of locally-developed programs of study with 3rd party partners (e.g. ASE, PLTW, etc.) that developed/provided curriculum (e.g. course/program catalog).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is:	No documentation was submitted.	John Doe
(continued)	☑ Documentation of how standardized state assessments, technical skills assessments and/or other academic assessment results are used to design instructional and support services in CTE programs (e.g. lesson plans, curriculum plans, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The lessons plans and curriculum are inconsistent and do not include the same explanation throughout the documents regarding how standardized state assessments, technical skills assessments and/or other academic assessment results are used to design instructional and support services.	
	☑ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP2A and CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	Meeting minutes provided to ensure that stakeholders discussed the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans do not clearly outline a discussion of the content.	
	☑ Locally-developed CTE program checklist for CTE Standards based on COMAR. All CTE secondary programs of study must adhere to CTE Development Standards which are required by Code of Maryland Regulations 13A.04.02.01. (<i>Local School Systems Only</i>)	Evidence is: ⊠ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	



Criteria Rating for Category 4 – Please Complete

Category #4 - Curriculum and Instruction

Local School Systems and Community Colleges must provide all students with high-quality CTE programs of study that provide safety & health, technical, academic, and employability knowledge and skills. All students must be provided with strong experiences in and an understanding of all aspects of industry and linkages between secondary and postsecondary programs.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP4A – Perkins	☐ Documentation demonstrating that each	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Needs Assessment Size	approved CTE program of study has a	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Criteria	minimum enrollment of ten concentrators		☐ Vague		
	over a four-year period (e.g. course/program enrollment data). State data will be used to		☐ Inconsistent		
	validate submissions.				
	☐ Course Selection Guide, college catalogs,	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	CTE brochures, etc. that document courses	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	required for CTE programs of study		☐ Vague		
	completion.		☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation of continued progress	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	toward attainment of increased class size if	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	minimum requirement has not been met		☐ Vague		
	(e.g. course enrollment data, documentation of steps taken to make progress, etc.).		☐ Inconsistent		
CCTEP4B – Perkins	☐ List of locally-developed programs of	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Needs Assessment	study that are accredited/aligned to industry	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Scope Criteria	standards (e.g. course/program catalog, ACF,		☐ Vague		
	ASE, PrintEd, Cosmetology, NCCER).		☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ List of locally-developed programs of	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	study with 3rd party partners (e.g. ASE,	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	PLTW, etc.) that developed/provided		☐ Vague		
	curriculum (e.g. course/program catalog).		☐ Inconsistent		



Category #4 - Curriculum and Instruction

Local School Systems and Community Colleges must provide all students with high-quality CTE programs of study that provide safety & health, technical, academic, and employability knowledge and skills. All students must be provided with strong experiences in and an understanding of all aspects of industry and linkages between secondary and postsecondary programs.

all aspects of industry and linkages between secondary and postsecondary programs.						
Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person	
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible	
CCTEP4B – Perkins Needs Assessment Scope Criteria (continued)	☐ Documentation of how standardized state assessments, technical skills assessments and/or other academic assessment results are used to design instructional and support services in CTE programs (e.g. lesson plans, curriculum plans, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent			
	□ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP2A and CCTEP6A].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent			
	□ Locally-developed CTE program checklist for CTE Standards based on COMAR. All CTE secondary programs of study must adhere to CTE Development Standards which are required by Code of Maryland Regulations 13A.04.02.01. (Local School Systems Only)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent			
CCTEP4C – Perkins Needs Assessment Quality Criteria	☐ Evidence that professional learning specific to CTE programs is available to CTE teachers/faculty (e.g. description of professional learning and calendar of events for past 2 years, minutes, agendas, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent			



☐ Staff report listings on the number of teachers who are not certified (on a provisional or conditional) along with justification for non-certification and plans to	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	
support these teachers towards earning the certification. (Local School Systems Only)			
☐ CTE faculty spreadsheet identifying faculty name, program taught, qualifications, and any additional qualifications required by third party accreditors.	Evidence is: ☐ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	
☐ Policies and requirements for professional learning for CTE teachers/faculty by programs of study.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #5 - CTE Capacity, Resources, and Instructional Facilities

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 7 (MOA Criteria) and Figure 8 (Perkins Criteria).



Figure 7: Example of Criteria 5 Rating (MOA Criteria)

Category #5 - CTE Capacity, Resources, and Instructional Facilities

Local School Systems and Community colleges have adequate financial and human resources as well as instructional facilities to meet the needs of all students enrolled in all CTE programs of study. Local School Systems and Community Colleges must also meet current industry and occupational safety and health administration standards.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP5A – MOA	☐ Admissions/Placement policy for CTE and	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The admissions policy submitted does not appear	Jane Doe
Site Location and	description of admissions/placement process	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing	to discriminate based on race, color, or national	
Facilities	(e.g. description of strategies used, social		☐ Vague	origin. However, the admissions practices of ABC	
Selection Criteria	media outreach, etc.). Please note: The			High School have are <u>inconsistent</u> with compliance	
	evidence for this criteria must be sure to			requirements and has been <u>determined to be</u>	
	include representation from all protected			discriminatory. Specifically, the admissions	
	groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color,			practices for ABC High School require the use of a	
	national origin, and age) [same evidence			GPA for admission into CTE programs of study. This	
	requested for CCTEP1A & 1C].			requirement presents a concern.	
	☑ Procedures and criteria for selective	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The documentation submitted does not clearly	
	admissions/placement to CTE programs	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing	outline the recipient's procedures and criteria for	
	where there are more applicants than can be			selective admissions/ placement to CTE programs	
	accommodated [same evidence requested for		☐ Inconsistent	where there are more applicants than can be	
	CCTEP1A & 1C].			accommodated.	
	□ Copy of student enrollment/placement	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	This documentation was requested by MSDE along	
	demographics by program. (May be	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing	with the admissions policy and the student	
	requested from MSDE)		☐ Vague	enrollment/placement demographics by program	
				are disproportionately low for ELL students. This	
				documentation is inconsistent with compliance	
				requirements and has been found to be	
				<u>discriminatory.</u>	
	☐ Population demographics of recipient's	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	N/A - MSDE has not requested this information as	
	attendance area or local community. (May be	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing	of 12/2/2020.	
	requested from MSDE)		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		



Figure 8: Example of Criteria 5 Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #5 - CTE Capacity, Resources, and Instructional Facilities

Local School Systems and Community colleges have adequate financial and human resources as well as instructional facilities to meet the needs of all students enrolled in all CTE programs of study. Local School Systems and Community Colleges must also meet current industry and occupational safety and health administration standards.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Select One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP5C – Perkins	☐ List of CTE teachers who work with CTE	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The evidence provided is compliant.	John Doe
Needs Assessment	students by programs of study and student to		\square Missing		
Scope Criteria	teacher ratio.		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ List of equipment/supplies needed for each	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The documentation provided does not	
	program of study and by class student to	\square Clear/Evident		include a comprehensive list of	
	equipment/supplies ratio.		☐ Vague	equipment/supplies needed for all	
			☐ Inconsistent	programs of study by class/student to	
				equipment/ supplies ratio.	
	□ Program budgets for each program of study	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The document provided indicates	
	indicating adequate financial resources to	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing	adequate financial resources to operate	
	operate program with respect to staff,			program with respect to staff and	
	supplies, equipment, and facilities.		☐ Inconsistent	supplies, but there is very little	
				information about equipment and	
			_	facilities.	
	□ Any other documentation showing that the □ Any other documentation show show show show show show show show	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	The evidence provided is compliant.	
	recipient has adequate staff, supplies,		☐ Missing		
	equipment, and access to facilities to enable		☐ Vague		
	the programs to meet current industry and		☐ Inconsistent		
	OSHA standards (e.g. evaluations, assessment				
	of programs, etc.).				



Criteria Rating for Category 5 – Please Complete

Category #5 - CTE Capacity, Resources, and Instructional Facilities

Local School Systems and Community colleges have adequate financial and human resources as well as instructional facilities to meet the needs of all students enrolled in all CTE programs of study. Local School Systems and Community Colleges must also meet current industry and occupational safety and health administration standards.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP5A – MOA	\square Admissions/Placement policy for CTE and description of	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Site Location and	admissions/placement process (e.g. description of strategies	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Facilities Selection	used, social media outreach, etc.). Please note: The evidence		☐ Vague		
Criteria	for this criteria must be sure to include representation from		☐ Inconsistent		
	all protected groups (e.g. disabilities, sex, race, color, national				
	origin, and age) [same evidence requested for CCTEP1A &				
	1C].				
	☐ Procedures and criteria for selective	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		1
	admissions/placement to CTE programs where there are	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	more applicants than can be accommodated [same evidence		☐ Vague		
	requested for CCTEP1A & 1C].		☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of student enrollment/placement demographics by	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		-
	program. (May be requested from MSDE)	\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Population demographics of recipient's attendance area	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	or local community. (May be requested from MSDE)	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP5B – MOA	\square Copy of policies or description of process for making all	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Housing in	students aware of housing opportunities to include how	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Postsecondary	recipient will ensure accessible housing is provided (e.g.		☐ Vague		
Institutions	description of process used such as brochures, handouts,		☐ Inconsistent		
(Postsecondary Only)	social media outreach, flyers, housing application, etc.).				
	☐ Copy of housing application	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		-
	1, 5 11	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
CCTEP5C -	☐ List of CTE teachers who work with CTE students by	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Perkins	programs of study and student to teacher ratio.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Needs			☐ Vague		
Assessment Scope			☐ Inconsistent		
Criteria	\square List of equipment/supplies needed for each program of	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	study and by class student to equipment/supplies ratio.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	\square Program budgets for each program of study indicating	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	adequate financial resources to operate program with	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	respect to staff, supplies, equipment, and facilities.		☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		_
	☐ Any other documentation showing that the recipient has	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	adequate staff, supplies, equipment, and access to facilities	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	to enable the programs to meet current industry and OSHA		☐ Vague		
	standards (e.g. evaluations, assessment of programs, etc.).		☐ Inconsistent		
CCTEP5D -	☐ Employee recruitment policies [same evidence	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Perkins	requested for CCTEP5E].	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Needs Assessment			□ Vague		
Quality Criteria			☐ Inconsistent		
Quality Criteria					



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP5D -	☐ Outline of Employee hiring process	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Perkins		\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Needs			☐ Vague		
Assessment			☐ Inconsistent		
Quality Criteria	☐ Documentation demonstrating consultation among	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
(Continued)	appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) on	\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	the evaluation of CTE teacher/faculty retention rates and		☐ Vague		
	plans to address needed improvement (e.g. meeting minutes		☐ Inconsistent		
	and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.).				
CCTEP5E – MOA	☐ Copy of employee handbooks	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Employment of		☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Faculty & Staff			☐ Vague		
Criteria			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Example job announcement	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
		\square Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Employee recruitment policies	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
		☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of employment applications	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
		☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		-
	☐ Salary schedule	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
		☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		-
	☐ Promotion or tenure policy	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
		☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
			☐ Vague		
			☐ Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP5F – MOA Accessibility of Facilities Criteria	☐ Copy of the Americans with Disability Act (ADA) accessibility self-study. (<i>Provided by MSDE</i>)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	(Fieuse de specific)	Kesponsible
	☐ Copy of the ADA transition plan (e.g. documentation of recipient's (or hosting facility's) responsibility to make students aware of accommodations/accessibility, copies of procedures and process for making facilities accessible and ADA compliant, etc.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Listing or description of facility modifications with dates made to assure accessibility compliance. (For On-Site Compliance Reviews Only) Please note: This will occur after an on-site compliance review has been conducted and accessibility violations have been identified	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copy of a notice of facility accessibility for activities/patron request for special requirements that lists a point of contact for accessibility requests (e.g. notice indicating facilities are accessible for events/activities, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP5G – MOA Comparable Facilities Criteria	☐ Listing of separate programs, services, and/or facilities for students with disabilities.	Evidence is:	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Listing of separate programs, services, and/or facilities for male and female students.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP5G – MOA	☐ Listing of separate programs, services, and/or facilities for	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Comparable	English Learner students.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Facilities Criteria			☐ Vague		
(Continued)			☐ Inconsistent		
	☐ Description of action taken to ensure no disparities exist	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
	in programs, services, and/or facilities for students with	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
	disabilities, male/female students, or English Learner		☐ Vague		
	students (e.g. documentation of recipient's (or hosting		☐ Inconsistent		
	facility's) responsibility to make students aware of				
	accommodations/				
	accessibility, copies of procedures and process for making				
	facilities accessible and ADA compliant, etc.)				
CCTEP5H – MOA	☐ Copy of policies or description of process for making all	Evidence is:	Evidence is:		
Financial	students aware of financial assistance opportunities (e.g.	☐ Clear/Evident	☐ Missing		
Assistance Criteria	description of process used such as brochures, handouts,		☐ Vague		
(Postsecondary	social media outreach, flyers, financial assistance application,		☐ Inconsistent		
ONLY)	etc.)				



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #6 - Program Plan and Evaluation

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note, team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- **Developing** Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 9.



Figure 9: Example of Criteria 6 Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #6 - Program Plan and Evaluation

Local school systems and Community Colleges must conduct an evaluation of progress towards the implementation of CTE programs of study. This includes a backward and forward review of all programs of study offered along with Federal and State evaluation requirements.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP6A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress Towards Program Implementation Criteria	☑ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2B and CCTEP4B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	Meeting minutes provided to ensure that stakeholders discussed the Perkins Core Indicators for each program does not clearly outline a discussion of the content.	Jane Doe
	☐ Copies of analysis, resources or tools used to evaluate the effectiveness of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	No documentation was submitted.	
	□ Copies of analysis, resources, or tools used to evaluate the demand for and growth of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	
	☑ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners, or other sources are apprised of concentrator enrollment and retention for each program for the purpose of sustaining and growing programs (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	The agenda and meeting minutes submitted are inconsistent and do not clearly outline on each document where stakeholders were apprised of concentrator enrollment and retention for each program for the purpose of sustaining and growing programs. Specifically, the information listed on the agenda does not include the same information listed in the minutes.	



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP6A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress Towards Program Implementation Criteria	□ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources are apprised of and discuss Perkins Core Indicators for each program for the purpose of improving the program and outcomes for students (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP2B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	No documentation was submitted.	Jane Doe
(continued) CCTEP6B – Perkins Need Assessment Quality Criteria	☑ Documentation that the quality of each program is locally validated to maintain current occupational standards by business/industry representatives from Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	John Doe
	□ Copies of instruments, material or resources used to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of □ CTE programs of study (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The evaluations submitted do not include a description of how the recipient evaluates the quality and effectiveness of CTE programs of study	



Criteria Rating for Category 6 – Please Complete

Category #6 - Program Plan and Evaluation

Local school systems and Community Colleges must conduct an evaluation of progress towards the implementation of CTE programs of study. This includes a backward and forward review of all programs of study offered along with Federal and State evaluation requirements.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)	·	(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP6A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress Towards Program Implementation	□ Documentation demonstrating consultation among appropriate staff (and other stakeholders as appropriate) in the development and implementation of Perkins Local Applications and Improvement Plans (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2B and CCTEP4B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
Criteria	☐ Copies of analysis, resources or tools used to evaluate the effectiveness of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copies of analysis, resources, or tools used to evaluate the demand for and growth of CTE programs (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	□ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners, or other sources are apprised of concentrator enrollment and retention for each program for the purpose of sustaining and growing programs (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested in CCTEP2C].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP6A – Perkins Needs Assessment Evaluation of Progress Towards Program Implementation Criteria (Continued)	□ Documentation demonstrating that Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources are apprised of and discuss Perkins Core Indicators for each program for the purpose of improving the program and outcomes for students (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.) [same evidence requested for CCTEP2B].	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP6B – Perkins Need Assessment Quality Criteria	☐ Documentation that the quality of each program is locally validated to maintain current occupational standards by business/industry representatives from Program Advisory Committees, Local Advisory Committees, Agency Partners or other sources (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Copies of instruments, material or resources used to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of CTE programs of study (e.g. surveys, evaluations, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP6C - Perkins Expert Review Team Criteria	☐ Meeting presentations, agendas or minutes demonstrating discussion of content.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Career and Technical Education (CTE) Monitoring Review Process Rubric

Category #7 - Reporting and Record Keeping

Instructions

Use this rubric to guide the review of your assigned criteria for the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Monitoring Review. The Maryland Consolidated Perkins and Methods of Administration (MOA) Monitoring Plan outlines a comprehensive evaluation-compliance-monitoring process under which each recipient's system of CTE is evaluated and progress is monitored to ensure their compliance in the equity and access of high-quality CTE for all. Monitoring Team members will be responsible for reviewing materials, evidence and other documents to determine sufficiency in meeting compliance. Monitoring Team members will also have an opportunity to share questions and/or concerns regarding the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient. Please address all questions/concerns to Dr. China Wilson, Equity & Civil Rights Compliance Specialist.

Guidelines

Review Information

Please note, team members are expected to review the Consolidated Perkins & MOA Review Criteria list and the materials, evidence, and documents provided by the recipient prior to completing the evaluation. Upon reviewing the criteria list and materials provided, team members will determine sufficiency in recipient's ability to meet compliance, based on their assigned criteria, using the rubric below.

Criteria Rating

Reviewers will rate the evidence for each assigned criteria indicating the level of compliance and completeness of the criteria reviewed. Reviewers will rate each assigned criteria with an "X" as follows:

- Acceptable Requirements for this criterion have been met. Evidence provided is evident and clear.
- Developing Requirements for the criterion have not been met. Evidence provided is vague, missing, or inconsistent.

Under "Justification for Rating" reviewer must include an explanation from the evidence provided to support an Acceptable or Developing rating. See example Figure 10.



Figure 10: Example of Criteria 7 Rating (Perkins Criteria)

Category #7 - Reporting and Record Keeping

Local School Systems and Community Colleges must adequately maintain internal processes to provide accurate and timely financial, grant, program, and student data reports.

Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP7B – Perkins Grant and Program Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria	 ☑ Documentation of internal process that allows recipient to monitor and ensure adherence of improvement plans (e.g. standard operating procedures (SOPs), etc.). ☑ Documentation of the established process used to complete, submit, amend, and monitor local plans, applications, program proposal, and TSA proposals in an accurately and timely manner (e.g. timelines, outlines, etc.). 	Evidence is: Clear/Evident Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The timeline provided does not clearly point out their established process to complete these items in an accurate and timely manner. The outlines submitted does not include information about TSA proposals.	Jane Doe
CCTEP7C – Perkins Financial Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria	☑ List of all products that have been developed with Perkins Act allocation grant funding in the current school/program year and preceding school/program year, if applicable. (Final Report will be used by MSDE as evidence for preceding school/program year. If Final Narrative was submitted within the last 3 months, no submission will be required and the Final Narratives for the last 2 years will be used as evidence.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	John Doe
	☐ Job Descriptions for all Perkins Act allocation grant funded positions.	Evidence is:	Evidence is:	Job descriptions were not submitted.	



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP7C – Perkins Financial Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria (continued)	☑ Personnel/staff activity reports of any staff (s) time spent in activities charged to the Perkins grant, and how time charged to the Perkins grant supported Perkins activities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	Personnel reports do not clearly outline how time charged to the Perkins grant supported Perkins activities	John Doe
	☑ Documentation that Perkins funds are being used in accordance with statutory funduse rules, including supplement not supplant provisions (Approved Local Plan with detailed budget and Final Narrative (if submitted with the last 3 months) will be used by MSDE.)	Evidence is:	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☐ Vague ☑ Inconsistent	Documentation provided indicates that the use of Perkins funds is inconsistent with statutory fund-use rules.	
	☑ Documentation of internal inventory system that allows recipient to track and identify items purchased with Perkins funding and provide accurate and timely submission of Annual Equipment Inventory Reports.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☐ Missing ☒ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	The spreadsheet submitted does not clearly identify items purchased with Perkins funding.	
	☑ Documentation of internal checks and balances system to ensure that grants are appropriately expended.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: ☑ Missing ☐ Vague ☐ Inconsistent	Documentation of internal checks and balances system was not submitted.	
	☑ Recipient has not returned unspent 5% or more of Perkins allocation.	Evidence is: ⊠ Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent	The evidence provided is compliant.	



Criteria Rating for Category 7 - Please Complete

Category #7 - Reporting and Record Keeping

Local School Systems and Community Colleges must adequately maintain internal processes to provide accurate and timely financial, grant, program, and student data reports.

timely financial, grant, program, and student data reports.							
Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person		
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible		
ССТЕР7А –	\square Process used to enroll students in CTE courses,	Evidence is:	Evidence is:				
Perkins	including the names/roles of the individuals	☐ Clear/Evident	\square Missing				
Data Reporting	involved.		\square Vague				
and Record			☐ Inconsistent				
Keeping Criteria	☐ Process used to assign CTE Course SCED	Evidence is:	Evidence is:				
	(School Courses for the Exchange of Data) codes	☐ Clear/Evident	\square Missing				
	to local course codes, including the names/roles		\square Vague				
	of the individuals involved. (<i>Local School Systems Only</i>)		☐ Inconsistent				
	☐ Process used to collect and enter CTE data	Evidence is:	Evidence is:				
	elements in required State file submissions,	☐ Clear/Evident	\square Missing				
	including the names/roles of the individuals		☐ Vague				
	involved.		☐ Inconsistent				
	☐ Process to ensure accurate CIP, SCED (Local	Evidence is:	Evidence is:				
	School Systems Only) and TSA codes reported for	☐ Clear/Evident	\square Missing				
	all CTE students each year.		\square Vague				
			☐ Inconsistent				
	☐ Process to ensure accurate cumulative CTE	Evidence is:	Evidence is:				
	concentrator and TSA counts are reported for CTE	☐ Clear/Evident	\square Missing				
	students each year.		\square Vague				
			☐ Inconsistent				



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient	Acceptable	Developing	Justification for Rating	Person
	(Check all items submitted)		(Check One)	(Please be specific)	Responsible
CCTEP7A – Perkins Data Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria (continued)	☐ Documentation demonstrating that stakeholders are apprised of and discuss local and state annual CTE data-reporting requirements and annual program quality index reports for each program for the purpose of improving data accuracy, consistency and reliability (e.g. meeting minutes and agendas demonstrating discussion of content, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP7B – Perkins Grant and Program Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria	☐ Documentation of internal process that allows recipient to monitor and ensure adherence of improvement plans (e.g. standard operating procedures (SOPs), etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation of the established process used to complete, submit, amend, and monitor local plans, applications, program proposal, and TSA proposals in an accurately and timely manner (e.g. timelines, outlines, etc.).	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
CCTEP7C – Perkins Financial Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria	□ List of all products that have been developed with Perkins Act allocation grant funding in the current school/program year and preceding school/program year, if applicable. (Final Report will be used by MSDE as evidence for preceding school/program year. If Final Narrative was submitted within the last 3 months, no submission will be required and the Final Narratives for the last 2 years will be used as evidence.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Job Descriptions for all Perkins Act allocation grant funded positions.	Evidence is:	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		



Criteria Area	Evidence Submitted By Recipient (Check all items submitted)	Acceptable	Developing (Check One)	Justification for Rating (Please be specific)	Person Responsible
CCTEP7C – Perkins Financial Reporting and Record Keeping Criteria (continued)	☐ Personnel/staff activity reports of any staff (s) time spent in activities charged to the Perkins grant, and how time charged to the Perkins grant supported Perkins activities.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation that Perkins funds are being used in accordance with statutory fund-use rules, including supplement not supplant provisions (Approved Local Plan with detailed budget and Final Narrative (if submitted with the last 3 months) will be used by MSDE.)	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation of internal inventory system that allows recipient to track and identify items purchased with Perkins funding and provide accurate and timely submission of Annual Equipment Inventory Reports.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Documentation of internal checks and balances system to ensure that grants are appropriately expended.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		
	☐ Recipient has not returned unspent 5% or more of Perkins allocation.	Evidence is: Clear/Evident	Evidence is: Missing Vague Inconsistent		

For further information, please contact:

Nicassia Belton, Ed.D. Director of Data and Accountability for Career Programs nicassia.belton@maryland.gov 410-767-0186

China Wilson, Ph.D.
Equity and Civil Right Compliance Specialist china.wilson@maryland.gov
410-767-0180







The Maryland State Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry/ national origin, color, disability, gender identity/expression, marital status, race, religion, sex, or sexual orientation in matters affecting employment or in providing access to programs and activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups. For Inquiries related to Department policy, please contact:

Equity Assurance and Compliance Office

Office of the Deputy State Superintendent for Finance and Administration

Maryland State Department of Education

200 West Baltimore Street, Baltimore, MD 21201-2595

410-767-0426 - Voice, 410-767-0431 - Fax, 410-333-6442 - TTY/TDD