

Student Reading Improvement Plan (SRIP)

School Name				Local Education	on Agency
Address			City		Zip Code
Phone	Fax		Web Add	ress	
Student Pi	ofile				
 Student Name				 Date of Birth	 Gender
Grade Level	Classroom -	Teacher			Current School Yea
 Date Current Plan I	nitiated				
Other Services Pro	vided (select all	that apply)			
504	IEP [BIP	Speech	ELD	Advanced Academic
Gifted and Tale	nted				
Language Spoken	at Home				
Does this student	have language ç	goals?			
Yes No					
Does this student	have an IEP with	n reading goals	?		

Student Reading Improvement Plan History

Is this a continuation of a SRIP from a previous school year?
☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, provide any pertinent information from previous SRIPs (if applicable):
Number of years with a SRIP:
What other supports were provided?

WIDA

English Language Proficiency	Level:		
Composite Score:			
Subtest Scores			
Listening Score:	Reading Score:	Speaking Score:	
Writing Score:			
Additional Oral Language Ass	essment		
Assessment:			
Results:			

Screener Results

Screening Assessment:		
BEGINNING OF THE YEAR		
Composite Score: A	Achievement Status:	
Subtest Scores		
Assessment:	Score:	Status:
Comments:		
MIDDLE OF THE YEAR		
Composite Score: A	achievement Status:	
Subtest Scores		
Assessment:	Score:	Status:
Assessment:		Status:
Assessment:		Status:
Assessment:	-	

Student Reading Improvement Plan			
Comments:			
END OF THE YEAR			
Composite Score: Achievement Status:			
Subtest Scores			
Assessment:	Score:	Status:	
Assessment:	Score:	Status:	

Score:

Score:

Status:

Status:

Comments:

Assessment:

Assessment:

Diagnostic Results Date Delivered: Diagnostic Assessment: Results of Diagnostic Assessment:

Diagnostic Assessment:

Results of Diagnostic Assessment:

Date Delivered: Diagnostic Assessment:

Results of Diagnostic Assessment:

Date Delivered:

ADDITIONAL FORMATIVE DATA

Please share any additional reading data that may support the team in understanding the students' specific reading needs.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Core Programing (Tier I)

Tier I instruction provides on-grade level, standards-aligned general instruction that is differentiated as needed to ensure all students can access the grade-level content. It should occur within the core instructional block and be delivered through whole and small group settings.

List the specific core program(s) the district uses for Core/Tier I instruction for all students.

Core Reading Program(s):

Supplemental Program or Service

Select the tier of support the student will receive and identify the supplemental program(s) that will be used to address the student's specific literacy skill deficit(s):
TIER II SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAMMING
Tier II support involves small group interventions that align with evidence-based practices used in Tier I instruction. Tier II supports <u>are provided in addition to</u> ongoing Tier I instruction.
Instructional Need:
Reading Intervention:
Frequency (How often?):
Duration: (How much time?):
Student/Teacher Ratio:
TIER III SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAMMING For students not demonstrating growth in Tier II, Tier III provides diagnostic-based, explicit interventions
tailored to their needs. Tier III supports <u>are provided in addition to</u> ongoing Tier I instruction.
Instructional Need:
Reading Intervention:
Frequency (How often?):
Duration: (How much time?):
Student/Teacher Ratio:

Student Learning Goal(s) and Progress Monitoring

The number of targeted reading goals will be determined by the needs identified on the diagnostic assessment.

GOAL 1
Select area of focus:
Goal:
Baseline
Date:
Results:
PM 1
Date:
Results:
PM 2
Date:
Results:
PM3
Date:
Results:

Student Reading Improvement Plan		
Middle of Year Goal Status:	Date:	
End of Year Goal Status:	Date:	
Language/IEP Goal Supported by Literacy Go	oal 1 (if applicable):	
List Language Development Supports for Goa	al 1 (if applicable):	
List Language Development supports for est	arrim applicación.	

Comments:

GOAL 2	
Select area of focus:	
Goal:	
Baseline	
Date:	
Results:	
PM 1	
Date:	
Results:	
PM 2	
Date:	
Results:	
PM3	
Date:	
Results:	
Middle of Year Goal Status:	Date:
End of Year Goal Status:	Date:

Comments:

GOAL 3	
Select area of focus:	
Goal:	
Baseline	
Date:	
Results:	
PM 1	
Date:	
Results:	
rcesures	
PM 2	
Date:	
Results:	
PM3	
Date:	
Results:	
Middle of Year Goal Status:	Date:
End of Year Goal Status:	Date:

Comments:

Acknowledgement and Signatures

As the parent/guardian, I have been notified	about the following:	
My child is "not on-track" for reading at gr	ade level.	
My child's reading assessment score(s) an	d grade-level expectations.	
Resources and information about dyslexia	(if applicable).	
A description of current services that were	e provided to my child during the	school year.
A description of the school's planned servi child's needs related to learning to read.	ces and supports for the scho	ool year to meet my
Notice that the district will continue to pro of skill in reading for their current grade lev	ovide my child a SRIP until my child achieves t vel.	the required level
	d for promotion to the fourth grade if they do	
Signature	Role	Date
 Signature	Role	 Date

Family Partnership

Home implementation strategies discussed with the parent(s) that will support services received at school:

Support Team Roles and Responsibilities

Identify the members of	the student support team and th	neir responsibilities below.
Name	Role	If role is "Other," please define
Responsibility		
Name	 Role	If role is "Other," please define
Responsibility		
Name	Role	If role is "Other," please define
Responsibility		
Name	Role	If role is "Other," please define
Responsibility		

Name	Role	if role is Other, please define
Responsibility		
The signatures below inc upon by all members of t	licate that this intervention plan has been review the support team.	wed in an initial meeting and agreed
Signature	Role	Date
Signature	Role	
	Mar	yland State Department of Education 17

Student Reading Improvement Plan		
Signature	Role	Date
Signature	Role	Date
Signature	Role	 Date
Signature	Role	 Date
Signature	Role	Date
Signature	Role	Date
Signature	 Role	

Role

Signature

Date

Communication and Meeting History

Date	Name	Communication Type
Comments:		
Date	Name	Communication Type
Comments:		
Date Comments:	Name	Communication Type
Date Comments:	Name	Communication Type
Date Comments:	Name	Communication Type

Date	Name		Communication Type
Comments:			
Date	- Name		Communication Type
Comments:			
Date	Name		Communication Type
Comments:			
Date	Name		Communication Type
Comments			
		be held in person and was dinput and received inform	held virtually instead, the teacher's signature mation about this plan.
Signature		Role	

Student Reading Improvement Plan

End of Year Summary and Next Steps

End of Year Review Date: SRIP Status:
EOY Assessment Score(s):
DATA ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS
Narrative of student strengths, areas of need, and recommendations for support in the subsequent school year
Does the student continue to demonstrate difficulties with word recognition, language comprehension, or
both; which affect their ability to achieve grade-level reading proficiency?
Yes No
Does the student continue to require supplemental instruction aligned to the science of reading to assist them in attaining reading competency, as required by the Pre-K-3 Comprehensive Literacy Policy?
Yes No
If the student will continue on a SRIP for a second or subsequent consecutive school year, what unmet goals and/or objectives should be continued in the updated plan?

INTERVENTION PROGRAMMING SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS

Narrative on how student responded to the intervention, where the student ended the year, and whether it is recommended that the student continue with the same intervention in the subsequent school year.

FOR GRADE 3 STUDENTS ONLY

Grade 3 students must demonstrate adequate reading proficiency on the MSDE-adopted State English Language Arts Assessment to be designated for promotion to grade 4 (See MD Code, Education, § 7-202. Minimum levels of reading ability).

|--|

1.	Did the student score at or above the required performance level on MCAP?
	Yes No
2.	If the answer to criterion 1 was 'no,' did the student score at or above the required performance level on an MSDE-approved reassessment? (If applicable)
	Yes No
3.	If the answer to criterion 2 was 'no,' was a triangulation of data from multiple valid and reliable measures utilized to determine whether or not the student should be promoted?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, what data was used in the decision-making process?
4.	Did the student qualify for a good cause exemption?
	Yes No
	If yes, what good cause exemption did they qualify for?
	Student is enrolled in Alternate Education Framework
	Student is a multilingual learner and has received less than two years of instruction aligned to WIDA standards
	Student has an IEP/504 that reflects that they have received two or more years of intensive reading intervention but still demonstrate a reading difficulty
	Student was retained in a previous grade

5.	What was the school's decision?
	Promote to grade 4
	Retain in grade 3
6.	Did the parent/guardian of the student provide consent for retention?
	Yes No No response from parent/guardian
7.	What was the final decision regarding the student's promotion to grade 4?
	Promote to grade 4
	Retain in grade 3

Please see the LEA promotion policy for guidance on determining promotion designation and planning for how continued support will be provided to the student in the upcoming school year.